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Abstract—Autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder, currently affecting as many as 1 out of 91 individuals in the United States; and Saudi Arabia is no exception. Autism is characterized by impairments in social interaction, difficulty with communication, and restrictive and repetitive behaviors. Although there is no known unique cause of autism, there is growing evidence that autism can be caused by a variety of factors, however its exact pathophysiology is unknown. The use of potential biomarkers that point to specific mechanism of autism disorder will help to diagnosis and tailor treatment or prevention strategies for autism rather than solely to a symptom category. The aim of this article is to provide an overview of the various potential autism biomarkers reported in literature for Saudi autistic children, particularly at Autism research and treatment center, King Saud University, KSA and consider the future development of this area of research.

Index Terms—Autism spectrum disorder, biomarkers.

I. INTRODUCTION

Autism is a severe neurodevelopmental disorder which involves social withdrawal, communication deficits, and stereotypic/repetitive behavior [1]. Leo Kanner initially described ‘early infantile autism’ in a case series in 1943, naming the disorder on the basis of the ‘autistic aloneness’ that he observed in his patients [2]. The pathophysiological etiologies which precipitate autism symptoms remain elusive and controversial in many cases, but both genetic and environmental factors (and their interactions) have been implicated. While autism is considered multicausal, environmental factors have received significant attention.

The prevalence of autism spectrum disorder (ASD) has increased dramatically in the past few decades [3]. The current prevalence in the United States is estimated, at 1 in 91 children [4]. Recent epidemiological studies, conducted in different regions of the world, have indicated that at least one in every 100 people has some form of autism [5]. Few reports have been published about the occurrence of autism in developing countries. Studies from the Middle East on this topic have been particularly rare [6]. Autism in Saudi Arabia is slightly higher than reported in developed countries. One report estimated that in Saudi Arabia there were 42,500 confirmed cases of autism in 2002 and that many more remained undiagnosed [7].

Although there is no known unique cause of autism, there is growing evidence that autism can be caused by a variety of disorders, however its exact pathophysiology is unknown [8] and no disease markers for the diagnosis of autism have been validated. A reliable biomarker, however, could significantly contribute to an early and more exact autism diagnosis, a crucial prerequisite for an early behavior modifying therapeutic intervention. Furthermore, a diagnosis at an early stage could contribute to developing better coping strategies within families confronted with classical autism features of a child’s behavior.

Discovery of such biomarkers may eventually lead to something like a blood test for autism, which not only would allow earlier and potentially more reliable diagnoses of autism, but would also help researchers achieve an understanding of the biological basis of the disorder. Biomarkers can be used not only to diagnose whether an individual has autism, but can also be related to particular characteristics or end phenotypes of autism [9]. The first biomarker described in ASD was elevated whole blood 5-HT, or hyper-serotonemia, identified 50 years ago [10] and unique to autism among developmental disorders [11].

Biomarkers are pharmacological and physiological measurements, or specific biochemicals in the body, that have a particular molecular feature that makes them useful for measuring the progress of disease or the effects of treatment. First, by providing sensitive and selective clinical correlates for the valuation and diagnosis of those affected by neurological disorders. Second, by providing insights into disease mechanisms that can be used to identify therapeutic targets and to develop efficacious compounds to target them. A naïve expectation is that single biomarkers can capture the complex process underlying an illness. Rather, by looking as perturbations of biochemical networks (systems view); it becomes clear that a multiparameter analysis (panel of markers or multiple metabolites) may provide better insight into disease diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment [12]. By surveying for global changes in metabolic pathways, metabolomics-based approaches are more likely to provide a
wealth of information that may be difficult to capture by looking at only one pathway or one biomarker.

Classical research (pre-metabolomics) on the identification of biochemical biomarkers in blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) for neurological disorders has been aimed at assaying single metabolites. Often this search has been based on research hypotheses. Unfortunately, none of the single biomarkers identified to date have the desired sensitivity and specificity for diagnosis or have sufficient power to identify disorders at an early stage [13].

There are four basic challenges to biomarker identification in neurological disease are: 1) the availability of tissue at the site of pathology; 2) poor clinical diagnostics and extent of disease progression at the time of diagnosis; 3) the complexity of the brain and tissue heterogeneity; and 4) the lack of functional endpoints and models for validation [14].

II. POSSIBLE AUTISM BIOMARKERS IN SAUDI AUTISTIC CHILDREN

Table I listed all possible Biomarkers reported in literature for Saudi Arabian autistic children.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Increase</th>
<th>Decrease</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proinflammatory Cytokins (TNFa, IL1, IL6)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>[15]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxytocin and Asopressin</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycolytic enzymes</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desert Hedgehog (DHH)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Hedgehog (IHH)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurokinin A and anti-ribosomal P protein</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progranulin</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serotonin, Anti-myelin basic protein</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopontin</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-ganglioside M1</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100B protein and antiribosomal P</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>No Change</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin-17A</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[28]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thymus and activation-regulated chemokine (TARC), Macrophage-derived chemokine (MDC)</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Quantitative sandwich enzyme immunoassay technique</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
<td>[29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), Leukotriene, Isoprostan</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>[30]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ELISA= (Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay).
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In search of biomarker discovery at autism research and treatment center, King Saud University several proteins, hormones and enzymes in blood samples have been proposed as autism biomarkers in autistic Saudi children (Table I).

Furthermore metabolic biomarkers related to energy metabolism in Saudi autistic children were also reported [31]. Higher activities of Na+/K+/ATPase and CK in plasma of autistic patients were recorded which proved the impairment of energy metabolism in these children compared to age and sex matching healthy controls. ADPase was found significantly higher in autistic patients while ATPase was non-significantly elevated compared to control. In spite of the significant increase of Na+/K+/ATPase activity in autistic patients, there was no significant difference observed in the levels of ATP, ADP, and AMP in both groups. Study confirmed the impairment of energy metabolism in Saudi autistic patients which could be correlated to the oxidative stress previously recorded [32] in the same investigated samples.

The role of detoxification in the etiology of autism, selected parameters/ metabolic biomarkers related to sulfur-dependent detoxification mechanisms in plasma of autistic children from Saudi Arabia was investigated [33]. Results indicated reduced glutathione, total glutathione, GSH/GSGG and activity levels of GST were significantly lower, GR shows non-significant differences, while, Trx, TrxR and both Prx I and III recorded a remarkably higher values in autistics compared to control subjects.

Al-Gadani et al. [34] measured oxidative stress and antioxidant-related parameters/metabolic biomarkers (enzymatic and non-enzymatic) in 30 Saudi autistic children. Levels of lipid peroxides, vitamin E, vitamin C, glutathione together with enzymatic activities of glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px), and catalase were determined in plasma while superoxide dismutase (SOD) was measured in red blood cells of both groups.

Lipid peroxidation was found to be significantly higher in autistic compared to control Saudi children. On the other hand, vitamin E and glutathione were remarkably lower in autistic patients while vitamin C shows non-significant lower values. Regarding the enzymatic antioxidants, both glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) and superoxide dismutase (SOD) were significantly higher in autistic compared to control while catalase recorded more or less similar activities in both groups.

Na+, K+, Ca2+, Mg2+, Na+/K+, Ca2+/Mg2+ together with IL6, TNFa as proinflammatory cytokines and caspase3 as proapoptotic biomarker were determined [35] in plasma of 25 Saudi autistic male patients and compared to 16 age and gender matching control samples.

The data obtained showed that Saudi autistic patients have a remarkable lower plasma caspase3, IL6, TNFa, Ca2+ and a significantly higher K+ compared to age and gender matching controls. On the other hand both Mg2+ and Na+ were non-significantly altered in autistic patients. Pearson correlations revealed that plasma concentrations of the measured cytokines and caspase-3 were positively correlated with Ca2+ and Ca2+/K+ ratio.

Alteration of the selected measured ions confirms that oxidative stress and defective mitochondrial energy production could be contributed in the pathogenesis of autism. Moreover, it highlights the relationship between the measured ions, IL6, TNFa and caspase3 as a set of signaling pathways that might have a role in generating this increasingly prevalent disorder.

Same group [36] considered fatty acids as diagnostic markers and proved that fatty acids were altered in the plasma of autistic patients, specifically showing an increase in most of the saturated fatty acids except for propionic acid, and a decrease in most of polyunsaturated fatty acids compared to age-matching controls.

The altered fatty acid profile was discussed in relation to oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction and the high lead (Pb) concentration previously reported in Saudi autistic patients [34]. Results could reflect the high degree of specificity and sensitivity of the altered fatty acids as biomarkers in autistic patients from Saudi Arabia.

Biological marker (biomarker) is an indicator of a biological state. Biomarkers need to be measurable, associated with the particular condition and stable or predictable across and within individuals. Biomarkers can be measured using various biological samples, including blood, urine or saliva. There is increased interest among researchers in so-called neuromarkers, which are biomarkers based on measures of neurochemicals in the cerebrospinal fluid, brain structure measured using MRI, and/or brain function. Biomarkers have several applications. First, they can be viewed as risk factors that increase an individual’s susceptibility for a condition, and as such can be used to identify individuals who are at high risk for the condition. Biomarkers that can be detected before disease symptoms occur could be used to improve early detection of a condition. Second, they can be used to improve diagnosis, as they may enable better prediction of the nature and severity of disease outcomes in an individual. Third, they may be used to develop personalized treatments and, if monitored over time, can be used to evaluate treatment outcomes. A wide range of autism biomarkers has been proposed, but as of yet none has been validated for clinical use.

There is an intensive search for biological markers for autism. Such biomarkers could not only reveal causes of the condition but could also be clinically useful in complementing or improving the behavioral diagnosis of autism and in enabling earlier detection of the condition. Biomarkers would thereby assist in the validation of very early, targeted and individualized intervention programmers.

However, a number of key scientific challenges have yet to be overcome. First, experience in other areas of biomedical research highlights how challenging it can be to translate biomarker discovery into clinical applications, and very few clinically useful biomarkers have as yet been identified for neuropsychiatric conditions.

Second, the identification of autism biomarkers has so far proved elusive, partly because definitions of the condition itself have changed considerably over time and are still developing.

Third, developmentally invariant biomarkers for autism are particularly challenging because the phenotypic manifestations unfold as development progresses, especially
during infancy and early childhood, reflecting dynamic developmental interactions among multiple risk factors [37].

Fourth, several proposed biomarkers were found not to be universal, and none has indexed the presence of autism in a majority of cases (poor sensitivity). Candidate biomarkers tend also to be associated with a range of other neurodevelopmental conditions and not only with autism.

Finally, measuring some putative biomarkers is currently expensive, laborious and reliant on a high degree of technical expertise, restricting the possibility of their application in most clinical settings.

Although autism is defined on the basis of behavioral criteria, the condition is associated with a wide range of other biological phenomena. It is hoped that translating markers of these phenomena into clinically useful biomarkers will improve the validity and efficiency of existing diagnostic methods. Currently, the diagnostic process typically includes a clinical developmental history, assessments of speech, language and intellectual abilities, and of educational or vocational attainment. Standardized and semi-standardized procedures for conducting developmental interviews with caregivers and for observing and assessing social, communicative and repetitive behaviors that are characteristic of autism have been developed to aid and improve clinical diagnosis [38].

It has been suggested that biomarkers may aid and/or improve the efficiency of the diagnostic process, and recent studies [39], [40] have attempted to build diagnostic algorithms for autism on the basis of composite features of brain structure that have been associated with the condition. It is hoped that valid biomarkers that are identified before the onset of clear symptoms will help in the early detection of emerging autism.

There is a long tradition of biomarker research in Autism spectrum disorder (ASD). Biomarkers may point toward ASD susceptibility factors in different ways. In theory, a biomarker could contribute directly to susceptibility, but a biomarker also may represent an endophenotype, or a heritable trait resulting from an underlying factor that is the prime contributor to ASD susceptibility [41]. Finally, a biomarker may be a secondary result of ASD itself or of ASD treatment. Deciding among these possibilities has therapeutic relevance in narrowing down potential targets and/or using the particular measure as a diagnostic or treatment aid. Structural neuroimaging studies have also sought to identify biomarkers of autism [42].

Peripheral biomarkers related to the immune system have also generated considerable interest. Whereas evidence suggests that there may be altered immune system function in some children with ASD, the specific alterations appear to vary across studies and will require further analysis to reach consensus [43]. Autism biomarkers were identified that could be categorized according to the key theories that exist regarding the etiology of autism: gastrointestinal factors, immune dysregulation, heavy metal toxicity, neurotransmitter abnormalities, and oxidative stress.

IV. CONCLUSION

Autism is a neurodevelopmental disorder. An increasing prevalence of Autism shows the importance of several biomarkers in the disease diagnosis and treatment. Alterations in neurotransmitters, oxidative damage, neuroinflammation, mitochondrial dysfunction, neurological abnormalities in brain and gastrointestinal disturbances play a promising in the pathology of disease. Periodic diagnosis of these biomarkers in biological samples will provide a basement for effective and efficient therapy.

Biomarkers should ideally be quantitative biological measures with an accurate indication of a specific mechanism and ideally are not invasive. Identifying biomarkers will almost certainly lead to a better understanding of the pathogenesis required to design the most effective treatments of autism. There is widespread hope that the possible discovery of valid biomarkers for autism will both reveal the causes of autism and enable earlier and more targeted methods for diagnosis and intervention.

Collaborative approaches involving scientists and other stakeholders must combine the search for valid, clinically useful autism biomarkers with efforts to ensure that individuals with autism and their families are treated with respect and understanding.
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